

FIN WHALE (*Balaenoptera physalus*): Northeast Pacific Stock

STOCK DEFINITION AND GEOGRAPHIC RANGE

Within the U.S. waters in the Pacific Ocean, fin whales are found seasonally off the coast of North America and in the Bering Sea during the summer (Fig. 1). Information on seasonal fin whale distribution has been gleaned from the reception of fin whale calls by bottom-mounted, offshore hydrophone arrays along the U.S. Pacific coast, in the central North Pacific, and in the western Aleutian Islands (Moore et al. 1998, 2006; Watkins et al. 2000; Stafford et al. 2007; Širović et al. 2013; Soule and Wilcock 2013). Moore et al. (1998, 2006), Watkins et al. (2000), and Stafford et al. (2007) documented fin whale calling along the U.S. Pacific coast where rates were highest from August/September through February, suggesting that these may be important feeding areas during the winter. Širović et al. (2013) speculated that both resident and migratory fin whales may occur off southern California based on shifts in peaks in fin whale calling data. Širović et al. (2015) noted that fin whales were detected in the Southern California Bight year-round and found an overall increase in the fin whale call index from 2006 to 2012. Soule and Wilcock (2013) documented fin whale call rates in a presumed feeding area along the Juan de Fuca Ridge, offshore of northern Washington State, and found that some whales appear to transit northwest from August to October. They speculate that some fin whales migrate northward from the Juan de Fuca Ridge in fall and southward in winter. While peaks in call rates occurred during late summer, fall, and winter in the central North Pacific and the Aleutian Islands, fin whale calls were seldom detected during summer months even though fin whales are regularly seen in summer months in the Gulf of Alaska (Stafford et al. 2007). Fin whale calls were detected in the southeast Bering Sea using an instrument moored there, from April 2006 through April 2007, which showed peaks in fin whale call detections from September through November 2006 and also in February and March 2007 (Stafford et al. 2010). In addition, fin whale calls were detected in the northeastern Chukchi Sea using instruments moored there from July through October of 2007-2010 (Delarue et al. 2013). Call data collected from the Bering Sea suggest that several fin whale stocks may feed in the Bering Sea, but call data collected in the northeast Chukchi Sea suggest that only one of the putative Bering Sea stocks appears to migrate that far north to feed (Delarue et al. 2013). Some fin whale calls have also been recorded in the Hawaiian portion of the U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone in all months except June and July (Thompson and Friedl 1982, McDonald and Fox 1999). Sightings of fin whales in Hawaii are extremely rare: there was a sighting in 1976 (Shallenberger 1981), a sighting in 1979 (Mizroch et al. 2009), a sighting during an aerial survey in 1994 (Mobley et al. 1996), and five sightings during a survey in 2002 (Barlow 2006).

Surveys on the Bering Sea shelf in 1997, 1999, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2008, and 2010 and in coastal waters of the Aleutian Islands and the Alaska Peninsula from 2001 to 2003 provided information about the distribution and relative abundance of fin whales in these areas (Moore et al. 2000, 2002; Zerbini et al. 2006; Friday et al. 2012, 2013). Fin whales were the most common large whale sighted during the Bering Sea shelf surveys in all years except for 1997 and 2004 (Friday et al. 2012, 2013). Fin whales were consistently distributed both in the “green belt,” an area of high productivity along the edge of the eastern Bering Sea continental shelf (Springer et al. 1996), and in the middle shelf with the highest abundances occurring in the “green belt.” Abundance estimates for fin whales in the Bering Sea were consistently higher in cold years than in warm years (Friday et al. 2012, 2013) indicating a shift in distribution. This is consistent with a fine-scale comparison of fin whale occurrence on the



Figure 1. Approximate distribution of fin whales in the eastern North Pacific. Striped areas indicate where vessel surveys occurred in 1999-2010 (Moore et al. 2002; Friday et al. 2012, 2013) and 2001-2003 (Zerbini et al. 2006).

middle shelf between a cold year (1999) and a warm year (2002), which found that the group and individual encounter rates were 7-12 times higher in the cold year (Stabeno et al. 2012). Cold years are known to be more favorable for large copepods and euphausiids over the Bering Sea shelf (Stabeno et al. 2012) and fin whale distributions are likely driven by availability of preferred prey.

Based on whaling data, the historical range of fin whales extended into the southern Sea of Okhotsk and Chukchi Sea. It was assumed that they passed through the Bering Strait into the southwestern Chukchi Sea during August and September. Many fin whales were taken as far west as Mys (Cape) Shmidtta ($68^{\circ}55'N$, $179^{\circ}24'E$) and as far north as $69^{\circ}04'N$, $171^{\circ}06'W$ (Mizroch et al. 2009). Fin whale sightings have been increasing during surveys conducted in the U.S. portion of the northern Chukchi Sea in summer (Funk et al. 2010, Aerts et al. 2012, Clarke et al. 2013) and fin whale calls were recorded each year from 2007 to 2010 in August and September in the northeastern Chukchi Sea (Delarue et al. 2013), suggesting they may be re-occupying habitat used prior to large-scale commercial whaling. In August 2012, fin whale calls were recorded in the Alaska Chukchi Sea at a location 280 km northeast of the closest prior acoustic detection and 365 km northeast of the closest confirmed visual sighting of a fin whale, suggesting a possible northward range expansion over time as sea ice has retreated (Crance et al. 2015).

The following information was considered in classifying stock structure based on the Dizon et al. (1992) phylogeographic approach: 1) Distributional data: geographic distribution continuous in winter, possibly isolated in summer; 2) Population response data: unknown; 3) Phenotypic data: unknown; and 4) Genotypic data: unknown. Based on this limited information, the International Whaling Commission (IWC) considers fin whales in the North Pacific to all belong to the same stock (Mizroch et al. 1984), although those authors cited additional evidence that supported the establishment of subpopulations in the North Pacific. Further, Fujino (1960) described eastern and western groups, which are mostly isolated with the exception of potential intermingling around the Aleutian Islands. Discovery mark recoveries (Rice 1974, Mizroch et al. 2009) indicate that animals wintering off the coast of southern California range from central California to the Gulf of Alaska during the summer months.

Mizroch et al. (2009) provided a comprehensive summary of whaling catch data, Discovery mark recoveries, and opportunistic sightings data and found evidence that suggests there may be at least six populations of fin whales: two that are migratory (eastern and western North Pacific) and 2-4 more that are resident year-round in peripheral seas such as the Gulf of California, East China Sea, Sanriku-Hokkaido, and possibly the Sea of Japan. It appears likely that the two migratory stocks mingle in the Bering Sea in July and August, rather than in the Aleutian Islands as Fujino (1960) previously concluded (Mizroch et al. 2009). During winter months, fin whales have been seen over a wide geographic area from $23^{\circ}N$ to $60^{\circ}N$, but winter distribution and location of primary wintering areas (if any) are poorly known and need further study. As a result, stock structure of fin whales remains uncertain.

For management purposes, three stocks of fin whales are currently recognized in U.S. Pacific waters: 1) Alaska (Northeast Pacific), 2) California/Washington/Oregon, and 3) Hawaii. Mizroch et al. (2009) suggest that this structure should be reviewed and updated, if appropriate, to reflect recent analyses, but the absence of any substantial new data on stock structure makes this difficult. The California/Oregon/Washington and Hawaii fin whale stocks are reported separately in the Stock Assessment Reports for the U.S. Pacific Region.

POPULATION SIZE

There are no reliable estimates of current and historical abundances for the entire Northeast Pacific fin whale stock. Several studies provide information on the distribution and occurrence of fin whales, although they do not provide estimates of population size. A survey conducted in August of 1994 covering 2,050 nautical miles of trackline south of the Aleutian Islands encountered only four fin whale groups (Forney and Brownell 1996). However, this survey did not include all of the waters off Alaska where fin whale sightings have been reported, thus, no population estimate could be made.

Visual shipboard surveys for cetaceans were conducted on the eastern Bering Sea shelf during summer in 1997, 1999, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2008, and 2010 (Moore et al. 2000, 2002; Friday et al. 2012, 2013). These surveys were conducted in conjunction with the Alaska Fisheries Science Center (AFSC) echo-integrated trawl surveys for walleye pollock. The surveys covered 789-3,752 km of tracklines and observation effort for marine mammals varied according to the availability of observers during each cruise. Results of the surveys in 2002, 2008, and 2010, years when the entire AFSC pollock survey sampling area was surveyed (see Fig. 1), provided provisional estimates of 419 (CV = 0.33), 1,368 (CV = 0.34), and 1,061 (CV = 0.38) fin whales (Friday et al. 2013).

Dedicated line-transect cruises were conducted in coastal waters (as far as 85 km offshore) of western Alaska and the eastern and central Aleutian Islands in July-August 2001-2003 (Zerbini et al. 2006). Over 9,053 km of tracklines were surveyed between the Kenai Peninsula ($150^{\circ}W$) and Amchitka Pass ($178^{\circ}W$). Fin whale sightings (n = 276) were observed from east of Kodiak Island to Samalga Pass, with high aggregations recorded near

the Semidi Islands. Zerbini et al. (2006) estimated that 1,652 fin whales (95% CI: 1,142-2,389) occurred in these areas in 2001-2003.

In 2013 and 2015 dedicated line-transect surveys of the offshore waters of the Gulf of Alaska recorded, respectively, 171 and 38 sightings (Rone et al. 2017). These surveys provided fin whale abundance estimates of 3,168 fin whales (CV = 0.26) in 2013 and 916 (CV = 0.39) in 2015. The marked differences in these estimates can be partially explained by differences in sampling coverage across the two cruises (Rone et al. 2017).

Estimates of fin whale abundance in the eastern Bering Sea and in the Gulf of Alaska in any given year cannot be considered representative of the entire Northeast Pacific stock because the geographic coverage of surveys was limited relative to the range of the stock. In addition, these estimates are likely biased low because they have not been corrected for animals missed on the trackline, animals submerged when the ship passed, and responsive movement. Even though no data are available to make these corrections, it is expected that these estimates are robust because previous studies have shown that these sources of bias are small for this species (Barlow 1995).

Minimum Population Estimate

Although the full range of the Northeast Pacific stock of fin whales in Alaska waters has not been surveyed, a rough estimate of the size of the population west of the Kenai Peninsula has been calculated in previous Stock Assessment Reports by summing the estimates from Moore et al. (2002) and Zerbini et al. (2006) (n = 5,700). However, based on analyses presented in Mizroch et al. (2009), whales surveyed in the Aleutians (Zerbini et al. 2006) could migrate northward and be counted during the Bering Sea surveys. There are also indications that fin whale distribution in the Bering Sea is related to oceanographic conditions and prey density (Stabeno et al. 2012, Friday et al. 2013, Zerbini et al. 2016), making it possible that whales could be double counted when estimates from different years are summed (Moore et al. 2002). Until recently, the best provisional estimate of the fin whale population west and north of the Kenai Peninsula in U.S. waters was 1,368 whales, the greater of the minimum estimates from the 2008 and 2010 surveys (Friday et al. 2013). However, the Gulf of Alaska surveys (Rone et al. 2017) provide more recent estimates. The higher of the two abundances computed for fin whales in this region, 3,168 whales (CV = 0.26), better represents a minimum abundance for the Northeast Pacific stock because it is more precise and because it was computed from a cruise with a broader survey coverage. This estimate is considered a minimum abundance because the survey only encompassed a portion of the known range of the stock. A minimum population estimate (N_{MIN}) for this stock can be calculated according to Equation 1 from the potential biological removal (PBR) guidelines (Wade and Angliss 1997): $N_{MIN} = N / \exp(0.842 \times [\ln(1 + [CV(N)]^2)]^{1/2})$. Using the best provisional estimate (N) of 3,168 from the 2013 survey and the associated coefficient of variation CV(N) of 0.26 results in an N_{MIN} of 2,554 whales. However, this is an underestimate for the entire stock because it is based on surveys which covered only a small portion of the stock's purported range.

Current Population Trend

Zerbini et al. (2006) estimated rates of increase of fin whales in coastal waters south of the Alaska Peninsula (Kodiak and Shumagin Islands). An annual increase of 4.8% (95% CI: 4.1-5.4%) was estimated for 1987-2003. This estimate is the first available for North Pacific fin whales and is consistent with other estimates of population growth rates of large whales. It should be used with caution, however, due to uncertainties in the initial population estimate (1987) and due to uncertainties about the population structure of fin whales in the area. Also, the study represented only a small fraction of the range of the Northeast Pacific stock and it may not be appropriate to extrapolate this to a broader range.

Friday et al. (2013) estimated a 14% (95% CI: 1.0-26.5%) annual rate of increase in abundance of fin whales from 2002 to 2010. However, this apparent rate of increase in abundance is higher than most plausible estimates for large whale populations (see Zerbini et al. 2010 for a discussion of maximum rates of increase for humpback whale populations). It is likely that the high rate of increase in abundance in the study area is due, at least in part, to changes in distribution and not just to population growth. Further, in this study, the abundance of fin whales in the survey area increased in colder years, likely due to shifts in the distribution of prey. Stafford et al. (2010) provided evidence of prey-driven distribution where fin and right whale call rates in the vicinity of mooring M2 (approximate location: 57.9°N, 164.1°W) increased following peaks in euphausiid and copepod biomass, providing further evidence of distribution shifting.

Similar issues with shifting distributions confounding estimates of rate of increase were documented for fin whales off California by Moore and Barlow (2011). Here, trends in fin whale abundance from 1991 to 2008 were analyzed and the authors found sufficient variability in trend estimates to conclude that the estimates were likely demonstrating dispersal of new individuals into the study area rather than actual population trends.

CURRENT AND MAXIMUM NET PRODUCTIVITY RATES

Zerbini et al. (2006) estimated an annual increase in coastal waters south of the Alaska Peninsula of 4.8% (95% CI: 4.1-5.4%) for 1987-2003. However, there are uncertainties in the initial population estimate from 1987, as well as uncertainties regarding fin whale population structure in this area. Therefore, a reliable estimate of the maximum net productivity rate is unavailable for the Northeast Pacific fin whale stock. Hence, until additional data become available, the cetacean maximum net productivity rate (R_{MAX}) of 4% will be used for this stock (Wade and Angliss 1997).

POTENTIAL BIOLOGICAL REMOVAL

PBR is defined as the product of the minimum population estimate, one-half the maximum theoretical net productivity rate, and a recovery factor: $PBR = N_{MIN} \times 0.5R_{MAX} \times F_R$. The recovery factor (F_R) for this stock is 0.1, the recommended value for cetacean stocks which are listed as endangered (Wade and Angliss 1997). Using the best provisional estimate of 3,168 (CV = 0.26) from the 2013 survey and the associated N_{MIN} of 2,554, PBR is calculated to be 5.1 fin whales ($2,554 \times 0.02 \times 0.1$). However, because the estimate of minimum abundance is for only a small portion of the stock's purported range, the calculated PBR is likely biased low for the entire Northeast Pacific fin whale stock.

ANNUAL HUMAN-CAUSED MORTALITY AND SERIOUS INJURY

Detailed information for each human-caused mortality, serious injury, and non-serious injury reported for NMFS-managed Alaska marine mammals in 2011-2015 is listed, by marine mammal stock, in Helker et al. (2017); however, only the mortality and serious injury data are included in the Stock Assessment Reports. The total estimated annual level of human-caused mortality and serious injury for Northeast Pacific fin whales in 2011-2015 is 0.4 whales: 0.2 in U.S. commercial fisheries and 0.2 due to ship strikes. Ship strikes are a known threat for this stock and reductions in sea-ice coverage may lead to range extension and increased susceptibility to ship strikes from increased shipping in the Chukchi and Beaufort seas.

Fisheries Information

Detailed information (including observer programs, observer coverage, and observed incidental takes of marine mammals) for federally-managed and state-managed U.S. commercial fisheries in Alaska waters is presented in Appendices 3-6 of the Alaska Stock Assessment Reports.

One incidental mortality of a fin whale due to entanglement in the ground tackle of a commercial mechanical jig fishing vessel was reported to the NMFS Alaska Region in 2012 (Table 1; Helker et al. 2017). Because observer data are not available for this fishery, this mortality results in a mean annual mortality and serious injury rate of 0.2 fin whales in 2011-2015 (Table 1). This mortality and serious injury estimate results from an actual count of verified human-caused deaths and serious injuries and should be considered a minimum.

Table 1. Summary of mortality and serious injury of Northeast Pacific fin whales, by year and type, reported to the NMFS Alaska Region marine mammal stranding network in 2011-2015 (Helker et al. 2017). Only cases of serious injury were recorded in this table; animals with non-serious injuries have been excluded.

Cause of injury	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Mean annual mortality
Entangled in ground tackle of commercial mechanical jig fishing vessel	0	1	0	0	0	0.2
Ship strike	0	0	0	1	0	0.2
Total in commercial fisheries						0.2
Total due to other causes (ship strike)						0.2

Alaska Native Subsistence/Harvest Information

Subsistence hunters in Alaska and Russia have not been reported to take fin whales from this stock.

Other Mortality

Between 1900 and 1999, 75,538 fin whales were reported taken in commercial whaling operations throughout the North Pacific (Rocha et al. 2014).

In 2015, increased mortality of large whales (including 11 fin whales, 14 humpback whales, 1 gray whale, and 4 unidentified cetaceans from May to mid-August 2015) was observed along the western Gulf of Alaska, including the areas around Kodiak Island, Afognak Island, Chirikof Island, the Semidi Islands, and the southern shoreline of the Alaska Peninsula (http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/health/mmume/faqs_2015_large_whale.html, accessed December 2017). On 20 August 2015, NMFS declared an Unusual Mortality Event for large whales in the western Gulf of Alaska; however, no specific cause for the increased mortality has been identified.

A fin whale mortality due to a ship strike in Alaska waters in 2014 was reported to the NMFS Alaska Region stranding network (Helker et al. 2017), resulting in a mean annual mortality and serious injury rate of 0.2 fin whales due to ship strikes in 2011-2015 (Table 1).

STATUS OF STOCK

The fin whale is listed as endangered under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, and therefore designated as depleted under the MMPA. As a result, the Northeast Pacific stock is classified as a strategic stock. While reliable estimates of the minimum population size and population trends are available for a portion of this stock, much of the North Pacific range has not been surveyed. Therefore, the status of the stock relative to its Optimum Sustainable Population is not available. The total estimated annual level of human-caused mortality and serious injury for Northeast Pacific fin whales (0.4 whales) does not exceed the calculated PBR (5.1 whales), and the minimum mean annual rate of U.S. commercial fishery-related mortality and serious injury (0.2 whales) is less than 10% of the calculated PBR (10% of PBR = 0.5).

There are key uncertainties in the assessment of the Northeast Pacific stock of fin whales. While a single stock of fin whales is currently recognized in the Northeast Pacific, fin whale acoustic data suggest that multiple stocks overlap in the Bering Sea. Little is known about the pelagic distribution of fin whales due to the lack of dedicated marine mammal survey time in the Bering Sea and Gulf of Alaska. The calculated PBR level is likely biased low because only a portion of the range has been surveyed. A plausible estimate of the trend in abundance is not available for this stock.

HABITAT CONCERNS

Changes in ocean conditions that affect the seasonal distribution and quality of prey may affect fin whale movements, distribution, and foraging energetics. Ship strikes are a known source of mortality, and reductions in sea-ice coverage may lead to range extension and concomitant exposure to increased shipping and oil and gas activities in the Chukchi and Beaufort seas. Ocean warming may increase the frequency of algal blooms that produce biotoxins known to be associated with large whale mortality. However, few or no data are available to assess the likelihood or extent of such impacts.

CITATIONS

- Aerts, L. A. M., A. Kirk, C. Schudel, B. Watts, P. Seiser, A. McFarland, and K. Lomac-Macnair. 2012. Marine mammal distribution and abundance in the northeastern Chukchi Sea, July-October 2008-2011. Report prepared by LAMA Ecological for ConocoPhillips Alaska, Inc., Shell Exploration and Production Company and Statoil USA E&P, Inc. 69 p.
- Barlow, J. 1995. The abundance of cetaceans in California waters. Part 1: Ship surveys in summer and fall of 1991. Fish. Bull., U.S. 93:1-14.
- Barlow, J. 2006. Cetacean abundance in Hawaiian waters estimated from a summer/fall survey in 2002. Mar. Mammal Sci. 22(2):446-464.
- Clarke, J., K. Stafford, S. E. Moore, B. Rone, L. Aerts, and J. Crance. 2013. Subarctic cetaceans in the southern Chukchi Sea: evidence of recovery or response to a changing ecosystem. Oceanography 26(4):136-149. DOI: [dx.doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2013.81](https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2013.81)
- Crance, J. L., C. L. Berchok, J. Bonnel, and A. M. Thode. 2015. Northeasternmost record of a North Pacific fin whale (*Balaenoptera physalus*) in the Alaskan Chukchi Sea. Polar Biol. 38:1767-1773.
- Delarue, J., B. Martin, D. Hannay, and C. Berchok. 2013. Acoustic occurrence and affiliation of fin whales detected in the northeastern Chukchi Sea, July to October 2007-2010. Arctic 66(2):159-172.
- Dizon, A. E., C. Lockyer, W. F. Perrin, D. P. DeMaster, and J. Sisson. 1992. Rethinking the stock concept: a phylogeographic approach. Conserv. Biol. 6:24-36.
- Forney, K. A., and R. L. Brownell. 1996. Preliminary report of the 1994 Aleutian Island marine mammal survey. Unpubl. doc. submitted to Int. Whal. Comm. Scientific Committee (SC/48/O11). 15 p.

- Friday, N. A., A. N. Zerbini, J. M. Waite, and S. E. Moore. 2012. Cetacean distribution and abundance in relation to oceanographic domains on the eastern Bering Sea shelf: 1999-2004. *Deep-Sea Res. II* (65-70):260-272. DOI: dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2012.02.006 .
- Friday, N. A., A. N. Zerbini, J. M. Waite, S. E. Moore, and P. J. Clapham. 2013. Cetacean distribution and abundance in relation to oceanographic domains on the eastern Bering Sea shelf in June and July of 2002, 2008, and 2010. *Deep-Sea Res. II* 94:244-256. DOI: dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.03.011 .
- Fujino, K. 1960. Monogenetic and marking approaches to identifying sub-populations of the North Pacific whales. *Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst. Tokyo* 15:84-142.
- Funk, D. W., D. S. Ireland, R. Rodrigues, and W. R. Koski (eds.). 2010. Joint monitoring program in the Chukchi and Beaufort seas, open water seasons, 2006–2008. LGL Alaska Report P1050-2, Report from LGL Alaska Research Associates, Inc., LGL Ltd., Greeneridge Sciences, Inc., and JASCO Research, Ltd., for Shell Offshore, Inc. and Other Industry Contributors, and National Marine Fisheries Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 506 p. + appendices.
- Helker, V. T., M. M. Muto, K. Savage, S. Teerlink, L. A. Jemison, K. Wilkinson, and J. Jannot. 2017. Human-caused mortality and injury of NMFS-managed Alaska marine mammal stocks, 2011-2015. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-AFSC-354, 112 p.
- McDonald, M. A., and C. G. Fox. 1999. Passive acoustic methods applied to fin whale population density estimation. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 105(5):2643-2651.
- Mizroch, S. A., D. W. Rice, and J. M. Breiwick. 1984. The fin whale, *Balaenoptera physalus*. *Mar. Fish. Rev.* 46(4):20-24.
- Mizroch, S. A., D. Rice, D. Zwiefelhofer, J. Waite, and W. Perryman. 2009. Distribution and movements of fin whales in the North Pacific Ocean. *Mammal Rev.* 39(3):193-227.
- Mobley, J. R., Jr., M. Smultea, T. Norris, and D. Weller. 1996. Fin whale sighting north of Kaua'i, Hawai'i. *Pac. Sci.* 50(2):230-233.
- Moore, J. E., and J. Barlow. 2011. Bayesian state-space model of fin whale abundance trends from a 1991–2008 time series of line-transect surveys in the California Current. *J. Applied Ecol.* 48:1195-1205.
- Moore, S. E., K. M. Stafford, M. E. Dahlheim, C. G. Fox, H. W. Braham, J. J. Polovina, and D. E. Bain. 1998. Seasonal variation in reception of fin whale calls at five geographic areas in the North Pacific. *Mar. Mammal Sci.* 14(3):617-627.
- Moore, S. E., J. M. Waite, L. L. Mazzuca, and R. C. Hobbs. 2000. Provisional estimates of mysticete whale abundance on the central Bering Sea shelf. *J. Cetacean Res. Manage.* 2(3):227-234.
- Moore, S. E., J. M. Waite, N. A. Friday, and T. Honkalehto. 2002. Distribution and comparative estimates of cetacean abundance on the central and south-eastern Bering Sea shelf with observations on bathymetric and prey associations. *Prog. Oceanogr.* 55(1-2):249-262.
- Moore, S. E., K. M. Stafford, D. K. Mellinger, and C. G. Hildebrand. 2006. Listening for large whales in the offshore waters of Alaska. *BioScience* 56(1):49-55.
- Rice, D. W. 1974. Whales and whale research in the eastern North Pacific, p. 170-195. *In* W. E. Schevill (ed.), *The Whale Problem: A Status Report*. Harvard Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Rocha, R. C., Jr., P. J. Clapham, and Y. V. Ivashchenko. 2014. Emptying the oceans: a summary of industrial whaling catches in the 20th century. *Mar. Fish. Rev.* 76:37-48. DOI: dx.doi.org/10.7755/MFR.76.4.3 .
- Rone, B. K., A. N. Zerbini, A. B. Douglas, D. W. Weller, and P. J. Clapham. 2017. Abundance and distribution of cetaceans in the Gulf of Alaska. *Mar. Biol.* 164:23. DOI: dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00227-016-3052-2 .
- Shallenberger, E. W. 1981. The status of Hawaiian cetaceans. Final report for MMC Contract MM7AC028. *Natl. Tech. Info. Ser.* PB82-109398.
- Širović, A., L. N. Williams, S. M. Kerosky, S. M. Wiggins, and J. A. Hildebrand. 2013. Temporal separation of two fin whale call types across the eastern North Pacific. *Mar. Biol.* 160:47-57.
- Širović, A., A. Rice, E. Chou, J. A. Hildebrand, S. M. Wiggins, and M. A. Roch. 2015. Seven years of blue and fin whale call abundance in the Southern California Bight. *Endang. Species Res.* 28:61-76.
- Soule, D. C., and W. S. D. Wilcock. 2013. Fin whale tracks recorded by a seismic network on the Juan de Fuca Ridge, Northeast Pacific Ocean. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 133(3):1751-1761.
- Springer, A. M., C. P. McRoy, and M. V. Flint. 1996. The Bering Sea green belt: shelf-edge processes and ecosystem production. *Fish. Oceanogr.* 5:205-223.
- Stabeno, P., S. Moore, J. Napp, M. Sigler, and A. Zerbini. 2012. Comparison of warm and cold years on the southeastern Bering Sea shelf and some implications for the ecosystem. *Deep-Sea Res. II* 65-70:31-45.

- Stafford, K. M., D. K. Mellinger, S. E. Moore, and C. G. Fox. 2007. Seasonal variability and detection range modeling of baleen whale calls in the Gulf of Alaska, 1999-2002. *J. Acoust. Soc. Amer.* 122(6):3378-3390.
- Stafford, K. M., S. E. Moore, P. J. Stabeno, D. V. Holliday, J. M. Napp, and D. K. Mellinger. 2010. Biophysical ocean observation in the southeastern Bering Sea. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 37:L02606. DOI: [dx.doi.org/10.1029/2009GL040724](https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL040724) .
- Thompson, P. O., and W. A. Friedl. 1982. A long term study of low frequency sound from several species of whales off Oahu, Hawaii. *Cetology* 45:1-19.
- Wade, P. R., and R. Angliss. 1997. Guidelines for assessing marine mammal stocks: report of the GAMMS Workshop April 3-5, 1996, Seattle, Washington. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-OPR-12, 93 p.
- Watkins, W. A., M. A. Daher, G. M. Reppucci, J. E. George, D. L. Martin, N. A. DiMarzio, and D. P. Gannon. 2000. Seasonality and distribution of whale calls in the North Pacific. *Oceanography* 13(1):62-67.
- Zerbini, A. N., J. M. Waite, J. L. Laake, and P. R. Wade. 2006. Abundance, trends and distribution of baleen whales off western Alaska and the central Aleutian Islands. *Deep-Sea Res. I* 53(11):1772-1790.
- Zerbini, A. N., P. J. Clapham, and P. R. Wade. 2010. Assessing plausible rates of population growth in humpback whales from life-history data. *Mar. Biol.* 157:1225-1236.
- Zerbini, A. N., N. A. Friday, D. M. Palacios, J. M. Waite, P. H. Ressler, B. K. Rone, S. E. Moore, and P. J. Clapham. 2016. Baleen whale abundance and distribution in relation to environmental variables and prey density in the eastern Bering Sea. *Deep-Sea Res. II* 134:312-330. DOI: [dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2015.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2015.11.002) .